Case 1:08-cv-02046 Document 70 Filed 08/26/2008

Page 1 of 3

No O

(Revised 06/08)

papers may be made.

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

	THO TIOTY I OTT EETIY E TO THE TERMET IT	0 11110 / 101		
Case Title: LOVET	TT AUTO & TRACTOR PARTS, INC.		Plantiff(s)	
	VS.			
СНАМ	PION LABORATORIES, INC., et al.,		Defendant(s)	
Case Number: 08CV	e Robert Gettlemar	1		
Ι,	hereb	hereby apply to the Court		
under Local Rule 83.	14 for permission to appear and participate in the abo	ove-entitled action of	on behalf of	
	by whom I h	om I have been retained.		
I am a member in goo	od standing and eligible to practice before the following	ing courts:		
	Title of Court		Date Admitted	
All Courts in the State		5/5/1997		
United States District		3/20/1998		
United States District		2000		
United States District	list for additional)	2000		
I have currently, or w Court in the following	vithin the year preceding the date of this application, g actions:	made pro hac vice	applications to this	
Case Number			Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*	
*If denied, please ex (Attach additional for necessary)	-			
	3.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Nort notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an			

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes •

Case 1:08-cv-02046 Has the applicant ever been:	Document 70	Filed 08/26	5/2008	Page 2	of 3
censured, suspended, disbarred, or wither court?				No	•
or is the applicant currently the subject of an applicant's professional conduct?	investigation of the	Yes	0	No	•
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily with bar of nay court?	drawn, or resigned f	rom the Yes	\circ	No	•
denied admission to the bar of any court?		Yes	0	No	•
held in contempt of court?		Yes	\circ	No	•

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

August 26, 2008		S/ Stephen E. I	Morrissey			
Date	Electronic Signature of Applicant					
Applicant's Name	Last Name Morrissey		First Name Stephen		Middle Name/Initial E.	
Applicant's Law Firm	Susman Godfrey L.L.P.					
Applicant's Address	Street Address 1901 Avenue of the Stars				Room/Suite Number Suite 950	
	City Los Angeles	State CA	ZIP Code 90067	Work Phone Nu (31	umber 0) 789-3100	

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of the Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$100.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Lovett Auto &Tractor Parts, Inc., Plaintiff v. Champion Laboratories, Inc., et al.,

TITLE OF COURT (Continued.)

Eastern District of TX (1/4/2007) U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit (2003) United States Courts of Appeals •Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals (09/22/04)

By: Stephen E. Morrissey